

The BASES Expert Statement on Eligibility for Sex Categories in Sport: Trans Athletes

Produced on behalf of the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences by Dr Georgina Stebbings, Dr Adam Herbert, Dr Shane Heffernan, Prof Roger Pielke Jr., Prof Ross Tucker and Dr Alun Williams.

Introduction

Physiological differences between men and women have led to the segregation of sport according to biological sex. Without this segregation, women would disappear from most elite and matched levels of sport, as greater physical capacity in men, developed particularly in response to androgens during and after puberty, confers insurmountable advantages in speed, strength, endurance, and other variables. To allow fair competition, and regulate safety of combat and collision sports, a protected female category in sport exists.

In most individuals, biological sex aligns to gender identity (cis men/women), whereas in trans people, biological sex differs from gender identity. Thus, if permitted to compete in the sporting category aligned to their gender identity, trans women (assigned male sex at birth) may retain a performance advantage and reduce safety of other women in certain sports, while trans men (assigned female sex at birth) may be at increased risk of injury.

To facilitate inclusion while balancing fairness of competition and athlete safety, several sport organisations developed guidelines for the inclusion of trans athletes. Both World Athletics and the International Olympic Committee (IOC) permit trans men to compete without restriction in the male category. Trans women may compete in the female category, if they maintain serum testosterone <5 or 10 nmol/L (depending on sport/organisation) for 12 months before competition. Contrastingly, World Rugby exclude trans women from competing with elite women and require trans men to confirm physical ability before competing against elite men for safety reasons - a policy based on current evidence in non-athletic trans women and men.

Through this expert statement, we provide recommendations for practitioners, researchers and policymakers working in

Sexual dimorphism-linked performance differences

Sexual dimorphism is the development of divergent secondary sex characteristics between males and females during puberty due to androgenisation and a resulting \sim 15-fold higher serum testosterone in most cis men. As testosterone is primarily responsible for initiating positive changes in many anthropometric and physiological variables (Table 1), there is a corresponding divergence in athletic performance between biological males and females following puberty. Indeed, cis male performance advantages in elite sport range from ~12% in swimming, rowing, and running,

to ~20% in sports more reliant on upper body strength (Hilton & Lundberg, 2020) and ~30% in combat sports (Busko et al., 2016). Comparable differences also exist between untrained cis men and women. Accordingly, concerns have been raised regarding how well eligibility regulations introduced by sport organisations balance fairness and safety of competition with inclusion of trans athletes, particularly trans women, in categories aligned to their gender identity. While research on the physiological effects of hormones on trans athletes is lacking, emerging evidence suggests 12 months of testosterone suppression does not remove the physiological advantage of untrained trans women (Wilk et al., 2020; Hilton & Lundberg, 2020; Harper et al., 2021).

Table 1. Extent of male advantage in selected physiological characteristics relevant for athletic performance. Adapted from Hilton & Lundberg (2020).

Characteristic	Approximate male advantage
Limb length	~12%
Muscle mass	~37%
Muscle strength	~55%
Cardiovascular function	~27%

Effect of hormone therapy

When transitioning, trans people often undergo hormone therapy, which for trans women typically involves administration of oestrogen, and testosterone suppression via androgen blockers. While muscle mass (\sim 5%) and strength (\sim 4%) reduce in trans women following 12 months of testosterone suppression below 5 nmol/L, these reductions are much less than typical advantages in muscle mass (\sim 37%) and strength (\sim 55%) of cis men. Extending testosterone suppression > 12 months produced no further substantial reductions, which appear to plateau within 2 years.

While assessments of muscle mass and strength are often used for athlete selection and to monitor training, these may not reflect sport-specific actions that ultimately determine fairness and safety. Indeed, comparisons between cis men and women suggest differences in sport-specific actions are larger than observed for the individual phenotypes that combine to determine them. The force





generated during maximal arm cranking, for example, was 162% greater in cis men than cis women despite typical sex differences in muscle mass, strength, and speed each not exceeding 60% (Morris et al., 2020; Hilton & Lundberg, 2020). Thus, for sports where muscle mass and strength are important, data suggest including trans women in the female category, even after $12\,$ months testosterone suppression, would be unfair and (in some circumstances) unsafe, though research on sport-specific actions using athletic participants would provide clarification.

Similarly, testosterone suppression appears to have no effect on skeletal anatomy and trans women who experienced androgenisation, are likely to be taller, with \sim 12% longer limbs and a ~6% narrower pelvis than cis women (Hilton & Lundberg, 2020). All else being equal, longer limbs produce greater torque, which is advantageous in jumping, throwing or other sports requiring explosive actions and/or reach. Based on current evidence that trans women retain advantages in skeletal anatomy, muscle mass and strength compared to cis women, fair and safe competition in female sports where these phenotypes are important is not preserved under current World Athletics and IOC eligibility regulations.

In comparison to strength/speed sports, sex differences in endurance performance are notably smaller (≤13%; Hilton & Lundberg, 2020), although no controlled investigations on the long-term effects of testosterone suppression on elite endurance performance exist. In trans women soldiers, 2.4 km run performance after 2.5 years of hormone therapy was ~8% slower than pre-therapy but remained ~11% faster than cis women (Roberts et al., 2020). Reductions in serum haemoglobin following testosterone suppression (~14%; Gooren & Bunck, 2004) are similar to the difference between cis men and women (~12%; Murphy, 2014), and probably contribute to this impaired running performance. Endurance performance is multifactorial, however, and effects of testosterone suppression on blood volume, cardiac output and lactate metabolism require further investigation. Ultimately, regulation of trans women's eligibility in female sport cannot be universal, so organisations governing multiple sports/ events should assess eligibility for each sport separately.

For trans men, hormone therapy typically involves administration of testosterone, so a therapeutic use exemption is required to avoid an anti-doping violation. Many governing bodies require a signed declaration of an athlete's gender identity, and some require additional confirmation of performance capability, or annual verification of hormone therapy and serum testosterone. In general, these requirements do not restrict trans men from participating in male sport but practitioners working with trans men should assess whether they are physically able to compete against cis men, particularly in contact or collision sports, and are aware of potential injury risks. Testosterone administration in trans men improves strength and endurance, with evidence in soldiers of trans men outperforming cis men in 2.4 km run, and push-ups and sit-ups completed in 1 min, following 2.5 years of hormone therapy (Roberts et al., 2020). The shorter limbs and wider pelvis of trans men (disadvantages in many sports) are, however, unaffected by post-pubertal testosterone administration. Thus, the magnitude of any retained disadvantage or risk of injury will differ between sports/events, particularly contact vs non-contact, and requires more research involving athletes and sport-specific actions.

Conclusions and recommendations

- Recent data suggest testosterone suppression probably cannot negate the effects of prior androgenisation sufficiently to enable fair and safe participation of trans women in the female category of those sports heavily influenced by physiological capacity, so current regulations for such participation (e.g. IOC and World Athletics) should be reviewed and updated.
- Further research investigating the impact of hormone therapy on performance of sport-specific actions in trans athletes is required.

- Practitioners supporting trans men athletes should assess whether they are physically able to compete against cis men, particularly in sports that present significant injury risks.
- Awareness of current trans athlete eligibility regulations and the likely extent of physiological adaptations following transition should be raised among athletes and practitioners, to develop broader scientific and ethical understanding.



Dr Georgina Stebbings

Georgina is Senior Lecturer in Sport and Exercise Physiology at Manchester Metropolitan University. She is a BASES accredited sport and exercise scientist.



Dr Adam Herbert

Adam is Lecturer in Sport and Exercise Science at Birmingham City University



Dr Shane Heffernan

Shane is Senior Lecturer (Research) at A-STEM, Swansea University.



Prof Roger Pielke Jr.

Roger is Professor in Environmental Studies at the University of Colorado Boulder.



Prof Ross Tucker

Ross is a Science and Research Consultant for World Rugby.



Dr Alun Williams

Alun is Reader in Sport and Exercise Genomics at Manchester Metropolitan University.

Busko, K. et al. (2016). Measuring the force of punches and kicks among combat sport athletes using a modified punching bag with an embedded accelerometer. Acta of Bioengineering and Biomechanics, 18, 47-54.

Gooren, L. J. G. & Bunck, M. C. M. (2004). Transsexuals and competitive sports. European Journal of Endocrinology, 151, 425-429.

Harper, J. et al. (2021). How does hormone transition in transgender women change body composition, muscle strength and haemoglobin? Systematic review with a focus on the implications for sport participation. British Journal of Sports Medicine, doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-103106.

Hilton, E. N. & Lundberg, T. R. (2020). Transgender women in the female category of sport: perspectives on testosterone suppression and performance advantage. Sports Medicine, doi: 10.1007/s40279-020-01389-3.

Morris et al. (2020). Sexual dimorphism in human arm power and force: implications for sexual selection on fighting ability. Journal of Experimental Biology, 223, doi: 10.1242/jeb.212365.

Murphy et al. (2014). The sex difference in haemoglobin levels in adults anisms, causes, and consequences. Blood Reviews, 28, 41-47.

Roberts, T. A., Smalley, J. & Ahrendt, D. (2020). Effect of gender affirming hormones on athletic performance in transwomen and transmen; implications for sporting organisations and legislators. British Journal of Sports Medicine, doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102329

Wilk, A. et al. (2020). Muscle strength, size, and composition following 12 months of gender-affirming treatment in transgender individuals. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 105(3), e805-e813.

Download a PDF of this article: www.bases.org.uk/BASES-Expert-Statements

Copyright © BASES, 2020

Permission is given for reproduction in substantial part. We ask that the following note be included: "First published in The Sport and Exercise Scientist, Issue 68, Summer 2021. Published by the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences - www.bases.org.uk"



